

**Chicago Police Department's
FY 2014 COPS COMMUNITY POLICING DEVELOPMENT
MICROGRANT APPLICATION (COPS-CPD-2014-1)**

Gang School Safety Team (GSST) Program Model: Evaluation and Dissemination

BUDGET NARRATIVE

A. Personnel Costs

| <u>Name/Position</u> | <u>Computation</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|

Not applicable

B. Fringe Benefits Costs

| <u>Name/Position</u> | <u>Computation</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|

Not applicable

C. Travel

Not applicable

D. Equipment

| <u>Item</u> | <u>Computation</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
|-------------|--------------------|-------------|

Not applicable

E. Supplies

Not applicable

F. Construction

| <u>Purpose</u> | <u>Description of Work</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|

Not applicable

G. Consultants/Contracts

Consultant Expenses: List all expenses to be paid from the grant to the individual consultant in addition to their fees (i.e., travel, meals, lodging, etc.)

Not applicable

Subtotal: \$0

Contracts:

Project evaluation: The timely identification of youth crises that are imminently at risk of escalating into violence (particularly retaliatory violence) and timely interventions into those crisis situations by the Chicago Police Department's Gang School Safety Team (GSST) are critically important ingredients of the GSST program model. Delays in identifying and responding to such crises may literally cost lives.

Despite anecdotal evidence of GSST success, an objective evaluation of the GSST strategy has never been conducted and is needed to demonstrate the program's success and to create a continuous quality improvement process to maximize the strategy's impact on youth violence, particularly retaliatory violence. In addition, in order to be more readily replicable by other law enforcement organizations, a manual describing the philosophy, processes, procedures, and training required to successfully implement the initiative must be developed. The Chicago Police Department and our other GSST partners, most notably Chicago Public Schools and the Cook County Juvenile Court, will work with the University of Chicago Crime Lab to:

- 1) Develop GSST performance measures, collect data, use that data and objective observations of GSST process as well as interviews with and surveys of GSST participants to improve GSST practices in real time, and to conduct a rigorous and objective evaluation of the GSST strategy;
- 2) Describe the GSST model in a manual intended to proceduralize GSST and help law enforcement agencies around the country replicate this promising and effective strategy; and
- 3) Assist CPD grants staff in complying with COPS reporting requirements.

University of Chicago Crime Lab Project Evaluation: \$95,000

\$95,000

Total Contracts Cost: \$95,000

H. Other Costs

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Computation</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|

Not applicable

I. Indirect Costs

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Computation</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|

The Chicago Police Department has an 18.65% federally approved indirect cost rate. Despite continuing municipal budget restrictions stemming from the national recession, the City of Chicago has agreed to accept a significantly reduced indirect cost rate of 5% of the direct project costs (5% of \$95,000 = \$4,750) in recognition of the project's potential to significantly improve public safety in Chicago, to provide law enforcement agencies throughout the U.S. with a promising and scalable youth violence prevention model, and to improve the competitiveness of this grant application.

Total Indirect Costs: \$4,750

BUDGET SUMMARY

| <u>Budget Category</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| A. Personnel | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| B. Fringe Benefits | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| C. Travel | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| D. Equipment | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| E. Supplies | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| F. Construction | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| G. Consultants/Contracts | <u>\$ 95,000</u> |
| H. Other | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| I. Total Direct Costs | <u>\$ 95,000</u> |
| J. Indirect Costs | <u>\$ 4,750</u> |
| TOTAL GRANT COSTS | <u>\$ 99,750</u> |
| Federal Request | <u>\$ 99,750</u> |
| In-Kind Contributions | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| Total Project Cost | <u>\$ 99,750</u> |